

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 DOUGLAS L. CERCLE,

12 Petitioner,

2:04-cv-01342-GEB-GGH-P

13 vs.

14 JEANNE S. WOODFORD, et al.,

15 Respondents.

ORDER

16 \_\_\_\_\_/  
17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has  
18 filed an application for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28  
19 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States  
20 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local  
21 General Order No. 262.

22 On June 24, 2005, the magistrate judge filed findings  
23 and recommendations herein which were served on all parties and  
24 which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the  
25 findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days.  
26

1 Neither party has filed objections to the findings and  
2 recommendations.

3 Although it appears from the file that petitioner's  
4 copy of the findings and recommendations were returned,  
5 petitioner was properly served. It is the petitioner's  
6 responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address  
7 at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 83-182(f), service of  
8 documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.

9 The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings  
10 and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the  
11 magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED  
12 that:

13 1. The findings and recommendations filed June 24,  
14 2005, are adopted in full; and

15 2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas  
16 corpus is denied.

17 Dated: November 1, 2005

18  
19 /s/ Garland E. Burrell, Jr.  
20 GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.  
21 United States District Judge  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26